

**BEFORE THE CONSUMER GRIEVANCES REDRESSAL FORUM
MADHYA GUJARAT VIJ CO LIMITED
CORPORATE OFFICE, 5TH FLOOR SP VIDYUT BHAVAN,
RACECOURSE, VADODARA 390 007**

Subject	Consumer Grievances Complaint No.MG-IV-147-2013.14
Complainant	Shri Laxmanbhai Kalabhai Parmar at At : Vadhara, Post : Dudhadhad, Tal: Kapadwanj, Dist : Kheda
Respondent	Shri R B Vankar, Junior Engineer, Kapadwanj REC S/dn of MGVCL
Date of hearing	21.02.2014 at Vadodara

QUORUM	NAME
Chairperson	Shri P J Patel, Gandhinagar
Independent Member	Harsha S Chauhan, Vadodara
Technical Member	Medha M Marathe, MGVCL

The complaint dated NIL recd on 17.02.14 regarding to release agriculture vij connection under Dark Zone Yojna

On 21.02.2014, the case of the Complainant Grievance was heard before Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum wherein Shri Bhikhabhai Laxmanbhai Patel son, of Shri Laxmanbhai Kalabhai Parmar appeared as complainant whereas Shri R B Vankar, Junior Engineer, Kapadwanj REC S/dn appeared as respondent on behalf of MGVCL before the Forum. Both the parties were heard.

The brief details of the case are as under:

1. The complainants had applied for new agriculture connection on 29.03.1993, GP No.74 in Survey No.291 at village Vadhara, Tal: Kapadwanj, Dist : Kheda.
2. In same LS No.291, Shri Kalabhai Mohanbhai Parmar also applied for agricultural connection under GP No.73 / 1993.
3. The changed of circumstances i.e. land survey No.291 to 291 paiki was approved by Anand office vide letter No.ANC:Tech:2:537 dated 09.01.2014.
4. While processing application of Shri Laxmanbhai Parmar, it was observed that application is already processed for Shri Kalabhai M Parmar in same survey number i.e. 291 P.
5. Application was cancelled by Kapadwanj s/dn office MGVCL, on dated 14.11.2013 after site visit as both the complainants have demanded in same survey number, as per MGVCL circular only one connection can be given in one survey number.

The complainant contended that the application for agricultural connection was registered by his father on 29.03.1993 under GP No.74 at village Vadhara in LS No.291 paiki Khata No.158. As land was divided amongst family members, another application in LS No.291, Khata No.127 was also registered by Shri Kalabhai M Parmar under GP No.73/1992. During process of his application, CoC was approved by MGVCL on 09.01.2013. However, one application in same LS No.291 in the name of Shri Kalabhai M Parmar was already processed, application of Shri Laxmanbhai Kalabhai Parmar was cancelled by MGVCL vide letter No.766 dated 6.4.2013. It is also informed that division of land in Khata No.127 and 158 was made in 1982 at entry No.834 of hakpatrak.

The respondent contended that the complainant has registered for new connection on 29.03.1993 in Survey No.291 at GP No.74 in the same survey number i.e. 291 other application of Shri Kalabhai M Parmar was registered on 29.03.1993 at GP No.73. CoC was approved by Circle office for change of LS No.291 P of village Vadhara on dated 09.01.2014 in respect of Shri Laxmanbhai Parmar. Application registered at GP No.73 & 74 are in same survey number, guideline of circle office for processing application was asked by Deputy Engineer Kapadwanj s/dn. As per MGVCL Circular considering same survey number i.e. survey No.291 paiki, the application was cancelled and informed to the applicants. Complainant Shri Laxmanbhai K Parmar has given consent on stamp paper Rs.100/ for giving connection to Shri Kalabhai M Parmar in LS No.291 paiki.

The Forum Members present at the hearing considered contention of both the parties and perused the records and observed that LS No.291 Paiki is same but Khata numbers are different. The connections can be given to both the complainants having same survey number but different Khata No.127 & 158 as legal possessors are different. Accordingly, application of Shri Laxmanbhai M Parmar should be processed to give Ag Connection.

In instant case, the Khata nos of a Survey Number are different and their legal possession is with different owners so considering separate entity vij connection can be given.

O R D E R

The Forum directs the Madhya Gujarat Vij Co Limited to process for new agriculture connection to complainant Shri Laxmanbhai Kalabhai Parmar at At : Vadhara, Post : Dudhadhad, Tal: Kapadwanj, Dist : Kheda

(Medha M Marathe)
Technical Member

(Harsha S Chauhan)
Independent Member

(P J Patel)
Chairperson

PS :

If the complainant is not satisfied with the order of this Forum, the complainant may approach The Staff Officer, Office of the Electricity Ombudsman, Gujarat Electricity Regulatory Commission, Barrack

No.3, Polytechnic Compound, Ambawadi, Ahmedabad 380 015 *in accordance with Chapter-5, Clause No.13 of GERC Notification No.4 of 2005.*

Procedure to make representations before Ombudsman***

1. Representation should be in writing duly signed by the complainant with his name and address.
2. Representation should be supported with affidavit.
3. True copy of order of Forum and original complaint made before Forum should be attached. Enclosed documents should be certified copies of the original documents.
4. The representation before Ombudsman should be submitted within 30 days from the date of order of Forum.
5. Copy of representation should be submitted at the office of Ombudsman. Copy of representation should be submitted to all respondents.
6. If the complainant is required to pay any amount according to the order of Forum, one-third of such amount should be deposited by complainant and proofs should be attached along with the representation.
7. The matter should not be pending before the competent Forum or any other court, tribunal, arbitrator or any other authority.
8. All representations should be with a sufficient cause, reasonable diligence causing the consumer prima facie loss and damages / inconvenience.
9. Representation may be submitted in English or Gujarati language

*** <http://www.gercin.org/docs/Cosumer%20Grievances/Procedure/Procedure.pdf>